

FAX RECEIVED

MAY 04 2006

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE OFFICE OF PETITIONS

APPLICANT(S) Rapeepat Ratasuk GROUP ART UNIT: 2616  
DOCKET NO.: CE10274R  
APPLN. NO.: 10/629408 EXAMINER: Ng, Christine Y.  
FILED: July 29, 2003  
TITLE: Method And Apparatus To Provide Desired Quality-Of-Service Levels To  
Multiple Communication Services

---

PETITION TO WITHDRAW FROM ISSUE  
UNDER 37 CFR 1.313(B)(5)

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA. 22313-1450


OFFICE OF PETITIONS:  
SIR:

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.313(c)(2), Applicants hereby request withdrawal of the above-identified patent application from issue to permit consideration of a request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114.

Please charge the cost of filing this petition (\$130.00) to Deposit Account No. 502117, Motorola, Inc.

Respectfully submitted,

By:



MOTOROLA, INC.  
Customer Number: 22917

Simon B. Anolick  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 37,585  
Tel. 847-576-4324

05/05/2006 CKHLOK 00000006 502117 10629408

01 FC:1464 130.00 DA

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

## PCT

### NOTIFICATION OF DECISION CONCERNING REQUEST FOR RECTIFICATION

(PCT Rule 91.1(f))

To:

KANIKA RADHAKRISHNAN  
EVERGREEN VALLEY LAW GROUP, P.C.  
2670 S. WHITE ROAD  
SUITE 275  
SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95148

Date of mailing  
(day month year)

04 MAY 2006

Applicant's or agent's file reference

TPLABP002PCT

REPLY DUE

NONE

However, see last paragraph below

International application No.

PCT/US2005/042825

International filing date  
(day month year)

26 Nov 2005

Applicant

TP LAB, INC.

The applicant is hereby notified that this International Searching Authority has considered the request for rectification of obvious errors in the international application/in other papers submitted by the applicant to this Authority, and that it has decided:

1. ☐ to authorize the rectification:
- ☐ as requested by the applicant.
  - ☐ to the extent set forth below\*:

2. ☒ to refuse to authorize the rectification or part of it for the following reasons\*:  
(SEE ATTACHED CONTINUATION SHEET)

A copy of this notification, together with a copy of the applicant's request for rectification, has been sent to the receiving Office and to the International Bureau.

\* If the authorization of the rectification has been refused in whole or in part, the applicant may request the International Bureau, before the technical preparations for international publication have been completed and subject to the payment of a fee, to publish the request for rectification together with the international application. See Rule 91.1(f), third and fourth sentences, and, for the amount of the fee, see the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume I/A, Annex B2(1B).

Name and mailing address of the ISA/

Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile No. 703-305-3230

Authorized officer

Jeremy Fleming

Telephone No. 571-272-3284

**NOTIFICATION OF DECISION CONCERNING  
REQUEST FOR RECTIFICATION**

International application No.

**PCT/US2005/042825**

This communication is in reply to applicant's paper filed in the Patent and Trademark Office on  
20 MAR 2006

Applicants' 20 MAY 2006 response to the "INVITATION TO CORRECT DEFECTS IN THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION" (Form PCT/RO/106 mailed 15 FEB 2006) forwarding proposed replacement drawing sheets nos. 1/7-7/7 has been received.

The proposed replacement drawing sheets include changes made therein apart from what is permitted for the rectification of obvious errors under PCT Rule 91.1. For example:

Figs. 2-6: reference numerals changed throughout.

Fig. 7: "Phone Book Page" changed to "Contact List Page".

Obvious errors may be corrected pursuant to PCT Rule 91.1. However, the "rectification itself shall be obvious in the sense that anyone would immediately realize that nothing else could have been intended than what is offered as rectification". Rule 91.1(b). In the present case, the changes proposed above are not rectifications permitted under Rule 91.1(b), as anyone would not immediately realize that nothing else could have been intended than what is offered as rectification. The changes in reference indicia and text are not immediately obvious to anyone.

Accordingly, proposed replacement drawing sheets nos. 2/7-7/7 are **REFUSED**. Proposed replacement drawing sheet no. 1/7 is approved for entry as a substitute sheet.

Note that during Chapter I proceedings, changes to the description, claims and drawings may only be entered upon approval by the International Searching Authority if the changes comply with Rule 91.1(b). However, applicant may submit these changes for consideration as an amendment under PCT Article 34 along with the Demand when entering Chapter II under the provisions of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, or by submitting the changes upon entry in the National Stage. The amendments may only be entered if they do not go beyond the disclosure of the international application as filed. Additionally, Article 34 amendments submitted during Chapter II must also be in compliance with PCT Rules 11.14 and 66.

IAP5 REC'D PCT/PTO 60 MAR 2006

PCT/US2005/042825 - 20032006  
**IN THE UNITED STATES RECEIVING OFFICE**

**In re International Application No. PCT/US2005/042825**

**International Filing Date : 26 November 2005**

**Applicant: TP LAB, INC.**

**Attorney Docket No. TPLAB-P002PCT**

**Title: AN APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR A WEB PROGRAMMABLE  
TELEPHONE**

**RESPONSE TO INVITATION TO CORRECT DEFECTS**

Mail Stop PCT  
Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Invitation to Correct Defects dated 15 February 2006, enclosed are seven (7) sheets of formal drawings, including Figure 2 that was missing at the time this application was filed.

Respectfully submitted,



Kanika Radhakrishnan  
Reg. No. 49,093

EVERGREEN VALLEY LAW GROUP, P.C.  
2670 S. White Road, Suite 275  
San Jose, CA 95148  
(408) 223-1360 (telephone)  
(952) 516-4360 (facsimile)

**RECEIVED**  
- 6 APR 2006  
Legal Staff  
International Division


**FIRST CLASS MAIL CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

Date of Deposit March 15, 2006

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

MARCIAD. SHEA

(Typed or printed name of person mailing paper or fee)



(Signature of person mailing paper or fee)




Figure 1. Web-controlled Phone




Figure 2. Voice Module




Figure 3. Web Module




Figure 4. Making a Call






Figure 5. Receiving a Call




Figure 6. Dropping a Call




Figure 7. Accessing Contact List

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

## PCT

To:

MAURA K. MORAN  
59 BROOKDALE ROAD  
SUDBURY, MASSACHUSETTS 01776

NOTIFICATION OF DECISION CONCERNING  
REQUEST FOR RECTIFICATION

(PCT Rule 91.1(f))

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year) **04 MAY 2006**

Applicant's or agent's file reference  
**2004-010.15**

REPLY DUE  
NONE  
However, see last paragraph below

International application No.  
**PCT/US2005/045782**

International filing date  
(day/month/year) **17 Dec 2005**

Applicant  
**ADE CORPORATION**

The applicant is hereby notified that this International Searching Authority has considered the request for rectification of obvious errors in the international application/in other papers submitted by the applicant to this Authority, and that it has decided:

1. ☒ to authorize the rectification:
- ☒ as requested by the applicant.
  - ☒ to the extent set forth below\*:

Offered replacement sheets 216-223 of the claims submitted 04 May 2006 are approved for entry as "Rectified Sheets (Rule 91)".

2. ☐ to refuse to authorize the rectification or part of it for the following reasons\*:

A copy of this notification, together with a copy of the applicant's request for rectification, has been sent to the receiving Office and to the International Bureau.

\* If the authorization of the rectification has been refused in whole or in part, the applicant may request the International Bureau, before the technical preparations for international publication have been completed and subject to the payment of a fee, to publish the request for rectification together with the international application. See Rule 91.1(f), third and fourth sentences, and, for the amount of the fee, see the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume I/A, Annex B2(IB).

Name and mailing address of the ISA/  
Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
Facsimile No. 703-305-3230

Authorized officer  
Ngoc-Ho Nguyen *Nguyen Ngoc Ho*  
Telephone No. 571-272-3290

**FACSIMILE COVER SHEET**

**To: Examiner Ho**  
Company: USPTO  
Phone: (571) 272-3290  
Fax: (571) 273-0459

**From: Maura K. Moran**  
Company: ADE CORPORATION  
Phone: 781-467-3928  
FAX: 781-467-0928

**RECEIVED**

- 4 MAY 2006

Legal Staff  
International Division

Date: 4 May 2006

**Subject: Appln. PCT/US2005/045782****(Docket Pat 2004-010.15)**No. of pages (with cover): 9  
CC:

Dear Mr. Ho: Attached is a copy of the renumbered claims for the above-identified application that I am sending to the USPTO today. It is a clean copy, with the changes unmarked, as you requested.

If you have any questions, please give me a call.

Regards,

Maura K. Moran

Docket No.: 2004-010.15

216

29. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 28, wherein using the confidence level to identify an extent of confidence that the signal level so measured represents an actual defect further comprises creating a visual display of the region under investigation to visually represent signals measured at locations in the region and extents of confidence that the signals represent actual defects and not background noise.

30. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 28, wherein assigning a confidence level to the signal value that is measured at a location in a region of a surface under investigation further comprises:

defining a Confidence Level Factor (CLF[V]) for each signal level V, in order to assign a confidence level to the signal value that is measured at a location in a region of a surface under investigation, to identify an extent of confidence that the signal level so measured represents an actual defect;

developing a Confidence Level Factor function for values of each confidence level and the signal level with which it is associated; and

using the Confidence Level Factor function to assign confidence levels to the signal levels with which the confidence level factor is associated.

20

31. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 28, wherein assigning a confidence level to the signal value that is measured at a location in a region of a surface under investigation further comprises:

identifying a potential signal range for signal measurements to be obtained from locations in the selected region, and sub-dividing the potential signal ranges into

25

Docket No.: 2004-010.15

217

a set of signal intervals comprising selected signal levels and a predefined range around each signal level;

obtaining signal measurements for locations on the selected region in order to obtain a set of signal measurement values for the region;

5           counting the number of signal measurement values within each selected signal interval to obtain a signal measurement value count for each selected level;

          sorting the signal measurement value counts into a signal measurement distribution function  $H[V]$  comprising the distribution of signal measurement values counts in the region, by the selected signal level  $V$ ;

10           identifying the portion of  $H[V]$  that likely comprises underlying background noise by calculating a background noise probability distribution function  $B[V]$  comprising probable background noise signal counts by selected signal level  $V$ ;

          defining a Confidence Level Factor ( $CLF[V]$ ) for each signal level  $V$ , in order to assign a confidence level to the signal value that is measured at a location in a  
15   region of a surface under investigation, to identify an extent of confidence that the signal level so measured represents an actual defect;

          developing a Confidence Level Factor function and representing it with a Confidence Level Factor curve, for values of confidence level factors ( $CLF[V]$ ) and the signal levels  $V$  with which they are associated; and

20           using the Confidence Level Factor function to assign confidence levels to the signal levels with which the confidence level factor is associated.

32. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 31, wherein the signals comprise voltage signals indicative of photon activity within a collector, with the photon activity resulting from light scattered from the surface of the  
25   region under inspection, and with the extent of the signal being indicative of the extent

Docket No.: 2004-010.15

218

of such photon activity; and wherein the potential signal range comprises a potential voltage range, which is subdivided into a set of voltage intervals comprising selected voltage levels  $V$  and a predefined range around each voltage level  $V$ .

33. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 31,  
5 wherein sorting the signal measurement value counts into a signal

measurement distribution function  $H[V]$  further comprises creating a signal plot having a measured signal curve comprising the signal measurement distribution function, with the measured signal curve presenting the number of signals counted at each signal level; and

10 wherein calculating a background noise probability distribution function  $B[V]$  comprises adding to the signal plot a noise probability curve representing the likely number signals that comprise background noise at each signal level.

34. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 31,  
wherein calculating a background noise probability distribution function  $B[V]$   
15 comprises fitting a probability function to a portion of  $H[V]$  in which particle and haze variation effects are minimal.

35. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 34,  
wherein the portion of  $H[V]$  used to derive the  $B[V]$  comprises the lower tail of  $H[V]$ .

36. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 35,  
20 wherein the probability function comprises one of the following functions: a Gaussian probability function or Poisson probability function.

37. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 30,  
wherein defining a Confidence Level Factor ( $CLF[V]$ ) comprises calculating  $CLF[V]$   
using the equation:



Docket No.: 2004-010.15

219

$$CLF[V] = \frac{H[V] - B[V]}{H[V]}$$

where

CLF[V] = Confidence Level Factor,

H[V] = the count of signal values that are measured within a pre-

5 specified signal range centered on a selected voltage V, within a  
selected region of a workpiece surface,

B[V] = the count of signal values in H[V] that are associated with or  
expected to be associated with background noise.

38. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 30,  
10 further comprising obtaining a Confidence Level (CL[V]) percentage by multiplying  
CLF[V] by 100.

39. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 30,  
wherein developing a Confidence Level Factor function further comprises using CLF  
cutoff limits in order to limit the identification of signal values as potential defects in a  
15 region.

40. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 30,  
wherein developing a Confidence Level Factor function further comprises employing  
polynomial interpolation on the Confidence Level Factor function to obtain an  
interpolated Confidence Level Factor function and using the interpolated Confidence  
20 Level Factor function to assign confidence levels to the signal levels with which the  
confidence level factor is associated

41. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 29,  
wherein creating a visual display of the region under investigation further comprises  
creating a Confidence Level Map in which each map pixel represents a location in a

Docket No.: 2004-010.15

220

region of a surface under investigation and a confidence level representative of an extent of confidence that an actual defect exists at the location associated with the map pixel.

42. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 41,  
5 wherein creating a Confidence Level Map further comprises defining a characteristic of each pixel to represent the confidence level factor  $CLF[V]$  assigned to the signal level associated with the signal measurement value obtained at the location that is represented by the pixel.

43. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 42,  
10 wherein the characteristic is brightness.

44. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 43,  
further comprising adjusting the brightness range of pixels in the visual display to accentuate the presence or absence of a potential defect at the location associated with a pixel and an extent of confidence that the potential defect represents an actual defect,  
15 by

assigning a zero brightness level to pixels associated with locations having confidence levels lower than a selected minimum confidence level,

assigning a maximum brightness level to pixels associated with locations having confidence levels  $CLF[V]$  equal to one, and

20 adjusting the brightness levels for each pixel associated with a location having a confidence level therebetween to an intermediate pixel brightness level between the zero brightness level and the maximum brightness level, with the intermediate pixel brightness level developed by interpolation from the confidence levels associated with each pixel associated with a location having a confidence level therebetween.

Docket No.: 2004-010.15

221

45. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 28, further comprising assigning an extent of statistical significance in the potential defect based on the extent of statistical significance in the signal levels associated with the potential defect.

5           46. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 28, wherein identifying a potential defect comprises identifying a set of contiguous locations in the region under investigation, with the locations having signal measurement values above a defined voltage level and with the set comprising at least a defined number of contiguous locations.

10           47. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 46, further comprising computing the potential defect's size by using a peak voltage value comprising a voltage measurement value corresponding to the peak confidence level associated with the locations that define the potential defect.

15           48. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 46, further comprising  
            assigning defect confidence level to each potential defect, and  
            developing an aggregate defect confidence level to the potential defect from the set of confidence levels associated with the set of locations that define the potential defect.

20           49. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 46, further comprising developing an aggregate confidence level for an area from a set of confidence levels associated with a set of locations that define the area by potential defect, with the an aggregate confidence level comprising a peak confidence value, comprising the greatest value of the confidence levels associated with the set of  
25           locations that define the area.

Docket No.: 2004-010.15

222

50. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 46, further comprising developing an aggregate confidence level for an area from a set of confidence levels associated with a set of locations that define the area by potential defect, with the an aggregate confidence level comprising an average value of the  
5 confidence levels associated with the set of locations that define the area.

51. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 46, further comprising:

sorting the potential defects into bins according to size and confidence levels;  
and

10 associating an extent of statistical significance of potential defects with each bin by assigning an aggregate bin confidence level to each bin from the confidence levels associated with the potential defects sorted into the bin.

52. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 46, further comprising associating an extent of statistical significance of potential defects  
15 with the region under investigation by assigning an aggregate region confidence level to the region from the confidence levels associated with the bins that comprise the region.

53. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 46, further comprising associating an extent of statistical significance of potential defects  
20 with the workpiece by assigning a "global" confidence level to the workpiece from the confidence levels associated with the regions that comprise the workpiece.

54. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 28, further comprising

sub-dividing the region under investigation into sub-regions; and

Docket No.: 2004-010.15

223

performing the step of assigning a confidence level, the step of using the confidence level to identify an extent of confidence, and the step of identifying potential defects on the sub-regions in order to identify potential defects using a data set of reduced size and to provide more detailed estimates of local background noise.

5           55. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 54, further comprising associating an extent of statistical significance of potential defects with the sub-region by assigning an aggregate sub-region confidence level to the sub-region from the confidence levels associated with the potential defects in the sub-region.

10           56. A method for inspecting a surface of a workpiece, as recited in Claim 55, further comprising associating an extent of statistical significance of potential defects with the region by assigning an aggregate global region confidence level to the region from the confidence levels associated with the sub-regions.

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\* RX REPORT \*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

RECEPTION OK

TX/RX NO	5699
RECIPIENT ADDRESS	781 467 0500
DESTINATION ID	
ST. TIME	05/04 09:59
TIME USE	01'38
PGS.	9
RESULT	OK

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the RECEIVING OFFICE

To:

The International Bureau of WIPO  
34, chemin des Colombettes  
1211, Geneva 20  
Switzerland

# PCT

REQUEST FOR THE RECORDING  
OF A CHANGE

(PCT Rule 92bis.1)

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year)

04 MAY 2006

International application No.

PCT/US2005/019694

International filing date  
(day/month/year)

03 Jun 2005

1. The following indications appear on record concerning:

☐ the applicant
 ☐ the inventor
 ☒ the agent
 ☐ the common representative

Name and address JAMES M. HESLIN	State of Nationality*	State of Residence*
	Telephone No.	
	Facsimile No.	
	Teleprinter No.	

2. This receiving Office hereby requests the International Bureau to record the following change in:

☒ the person
 ☒ the name
 ☒ the address
 ☐ the nationality\*
 ☐ the residence\*

Name and address SANJAY S. BAGADE LEVINE BAGADE LLP 2483 BAYSHORE ROAD, SUITE 100 PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA 94303 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	State of Nationality*	State of Residence*
	Telephone No. 650-242-4210	
	Facsimile No. 650-284-2180	
	Teleprinter No.	

3. Further observations, if necessary:

\* To be indicated only for a change concerning the applicant.

Name and mailing address of the receiving Office Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Facsimile No. 703-305-3230	Authorized officer Ngoc-Ho Nguyen Telephone No. 571-272-3290
---	--

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the RECEIVING OFFICE

# PCT

COMMUNICATION IN CASES FOR WHICH  
NO OTHER FORM IS APPLICABLE

To:

JAMES M. HESLIN  
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP  
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER, 8TH FLOOR  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-3834

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year)

**04 MAY 2006**

Applicant's or agent's file reference

21496-19-1PC

**REPLY DUE**

See paragraph 1 below

International application No.

PCT/US2005/019694

International filing date  
(day/month/year)

03 Jun 2005

Applicant

USGI MEDICAL INC.

1. ☐ REPLY DUE within \_\_\_\_\_ months/days from the above date of mailing

☐ NO REPLY DUE, however, see below \_\_\_\_\_

☒ IMPORTANT COMMUNICATION

☐ INFORMATION ONLY

2. COMMUNICATION:

This is in response to the "RENUNCIATION OF AGENT UNDER RULE 90.6(d)" filed 24 March 2006. No fee is required.

The criteria for effecting a proper renunciation of appointment by an agent or common representative is spelled out in PCT Rule 90.6(d), which says that "An agent or common representative may renounce his appointment by a notification signed by him". Since the criteria delineated in Rule 90.6(d) has been complied with and since there are no outstanding responses due, the request to renounce appointment as attorney of record is GRANTED and is effective as of the mail date of this communication.

All future communications from this Office will be forwarded to "Sanjay S. Bagade" at the address indicated in the communication of 24 March 2006.

A copy of this decision and the notification of renunciation will be forwarded to the International Bureau (see PCT Rule 92bis.1(a)(ii)).

Name and mailing address of the receiving Office

Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile No. 703-305-3230

Authorized officer

Ngoc-Ho Nguyen

Telephone No. 571-272-3290



TRANSMITTAL LETTER OF THE  
UNITED STATES RECEIVING OFFICE

DATE	24 March 2006
INTERNATIONAL APPL. NO.	PCT/US2005/019694
FILED NO.	21496-19-1PC

1491 rec'd PCT/PTO 24 MAR 2006

I. Certification under 37 CFR 1.10 (if applicable)

EV 530 889 629 US	24 March 2006
Express Mail mailing number	Date of Deposit

II. ☐ New International Application

Title	Earliest priority date (Day/Month/Year)
-------	--

**SCREENING DISCLOSURE INFORMATION:** In order to assist in screening the accompanying international application for purposes of determining whether a license for foreign transmittal should and could be granted and for other purposes, the following information is supplied. (Note: check as many boxes as apply):

- A. ☐ The invention disclosed was **not** made in the United States.  
 B. ☐ There is no prior U.S. application relating to this invention.  
 C. ☐ The following prior U.S. application(s) contain subject matter which is related to the invention disclosed in the attached international application. (NOTE: *priority to these applications may or may not be claimed on form PCT/RO/101 (Request) and this listing does not constitute a claims for priority*).

application no.		filed on	
application no.		filed on	

- D. ☐ The present international application ☐ contains additional subject matter not found in the prior U.S. application(s) identified in paragraph C above. The additional subject matter is found on pages: \_\_\_\_\_ and ☐ **DOES NOT ALTER** ☐ **MIGHT BE CONSIDERED TO ALTER** the general nature of the invention in a manner which would require the U.S. application to have been made available for inspection by the appropriate defense agencies under 35 USC 181 and 37 CFR 5.1. See 37 CFR 5.15.

III. ☐ A Response to an Invitation from the RO/US. The following document(s) is (are) enclosed:

- A. ☐ A Request for an Extension of Time to File a Response.  
 B. ☐ A Power of Attorney (General or Regular)  
 C. ☐ Replacement pages:

pages	of the request (PCT/RO/101)	pages	of the figures
pages	of the description	pages	of the abstract
pages	of the claims		

- D. ☐ Submission of Priority Documents

Priority document		Priority document	
-------------------	--	-------------------	--

- E. ☐ Fees as specified on attached Fee Calculation sheet form PCT/RO/101 annex

IV. ☐ A Request for rectification under PCT 91 ☐ A Petition ☐ A Sequence Listing Diskette

- V. ☒ Other (please specify): ☐ PCT-Easy Diskette ☒ Postcard ☒ Letter re Rule 90.6(d) Renunciation

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees associated with this paper or during the pendency of this application, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 20-1430.

The person  
signing  
this form is  
the

<input type="checkbox"/> Applicant	JAMES M. HESLIN
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Attorney/Agent (Reg. No.) 29,541	Typed name of signer
<input type="checkbox"/> Common Representative	Signature

~~TOWNSEND~~ 19694.24032006

*and*  
**TOWNSEND**  
*and*  
**CREW**

LLP

Palo Alto, California  
Tel 650 326-2400

Walnut Creek, California  
Tel 925 472-5000

San Diego, California  
Tel 858 350-6100

Denver, Colorado  
Tel 303 571-4000

Seattle, Washington  
Tel 206 467-9600

San Francisco

Two Embarcadero Center  
Eighth Floor  
San Francisco  
California 94111-3834  
Tel 415 576-0200  
Fax 415 576-0300

24 March 2006

**VIA EXPRESS MAIL**  
**RETURN POSTCARD ENCLOSED**

Examining Officer  
USPTO International Division  
Mail Stop PCT  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RENUNCIATION  
OF AGENT  
UNDER RULE 90.6(d)**

Re: International Application No. PCT/US2005/019694  
Title: APPARATUS AND METHODS FOR PERFORMING TRANSLUMINAL  
GASTROINTESTINAL PROCEDURES  
Applicant(s): USGI Medical Inc.  
Express Mail Label No.: EV 530 889 629 US  
Date of Mailing: 24 March 2006  
Our File No.: 21496-19-1PC

Dear Officer:

Pursuant to Rule 90.6(d), the Agents indicated below respectfully renounce their appointment as *Agents of Record* for the above-referenced international application:

James M. HESLIN, et. al.  
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP  
Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111-3834  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
Ph. (415) 576-0200  
Fax (415) 576-0300

Please direct all future correspondence to the following party:

**Sanjay S. BAGADE**  
**LEVINE BAGADE LLP**  
**2483 Bayshore Road, Suite 100**  
**Palo Alto, CA 94303**  
**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**  
**Ph. (650) 242-4210**  
**Fax: (650) 284-2180**

Respectfully submitted,  
TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP

James M. Heslin  
Reg. No. 29,541  
JMH/cba

cc: International Bureau of WIPO (via fax 011 41 22 338 8270)  
60732630 v1

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the RECEIVING OFFICE

## PCT

To:

MARTIN L. FAIGUS  
CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN, COHEN &  
POKOTILOW, LTD.  
12TH FLOOR, SEVEN PENN CENTER  
1635 MARKET STREET  
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19103-2212

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING LATER  
SUBMITTED SHEETS OR DRAWINGS

(PCT Administrative Instructions, Sections 309(b)  
and (c) and 310(c) and (d))

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year) **04 MAY 2006**

Applicant's or agent's file reference  
**A1019-20397**

**IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION**

International application No.  
**PCT/US2005/045892**

International filing date/Date of first receipt of papers  
(day/month/year) **15 Dec 2005**

Applicant  
**APPLIED EXTRUSION TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**

1. The applicant is hereby notified that certain

- ☐ sheets (specify page numbers) \_\_\_\_\_
- ☒ drawings (specify page or drawing numbers) **sheet 1/1 (Figures 1 and 2)**

pertaining to the application were received by this receiving Office on:

**24 March 2006** (date of receipt of the later submission),

that is, on a date later than the date indicated above of first receipt of papers.

2. ☐ As the date of receipt of the later submission is **within 30 days** of the date of first receipt of papers, the later submission is included in the application for international processing and

- a. ☐ the date of receipt of the later submission becomes the date of receipt of the application.
- b. ☐ the date of receipt of the later submission becomes the international filing date.

3. ☒ As the date of receipt of the later submission is **later than 30 days** from the date of first receipt of papers, the later submission will not be taken into account for the purposes of international processing and

- a. ☒ the date of receipt of the application remains as of record.
- b. ☒ the international filing date remains as of record.

Name and mailing address of the receiving Office  
Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
Facsimile No. 703-305-3230

Authorized officer **Ngoc-Ho Nguyen**  
Telephone No. 571-272-3290

Attorney Docket No. A1019/20397

Applicant : Applied Extrusion Technologies, Inc.  
International Application No. : PCT/US2005/045892  
International Filing Date : 15 December 2005  
Invention : CLEAR PEELABLE PLASTIC LABELS

---


The following documents are enclosed:

1. Response to Notification of Non-Inclusion of Drawings with the International Application;
2. Copy of Notification;
3. One (1) sheet formal Drawing; and
4. Return Receipt Post Card

"Express Mail" Mailing Label No. EL 962 206 790 US  
Date of Deposit March 24, 2006

I hereby certify that the above-identified documents are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Marie Trahey



UNITED STATES RECEIVING OFFICE

Agent's Ref: A1019/20397

Applicant : APPLIED EXTRUSION TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
International Application No.: PCT/US2005/045892  
International Filing Date : 15 December 2005 (15-12-2005)  
Priority Date : 16 December 2004 (16-12-2004)  
Title of Invention : CLEAR PEELABLE PLASTIC LABELS

RESPONSE TO INVITATION

Mail Stop PCT  
Attention: RO/US  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:


This is responsive to the Notification of Non-Inclusion of Drawings With The International Application, mailed from the United States Receiving Office on 15 March 2006. A copy of said Notification is enclosed.

Applicant also encloses Sheet 1/1 of the formal Drawings under Rule 20.2 (a)(iii), to complete the filing requirements of this application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge our Deposit Account No. 03-0075, as necessary to effect entry and/or ensure consideration of this submission.

Respectfully submitted,  
CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN,  
COHEN & POKOTILOW, LTD.

24 March 2006

By   
Martin L. Faigus  
Reg. No. 24,364  
12th Floor, Seven Penn Center  
1635 Market Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2212  
(215) 567-2010  
Attorneys for Applicant

# PCT/US05/45 PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the RECEIVING OFFICE

## PCT

### NOTIFICATION OF NON-INCLUSION OF DRAWINGS WITH THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION



(PCT Article 14(2) and Rule 20.2(a)(iii))

<b>To:</b> MARTIN L. FAIGUS CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN, COHEN & POKOTILOW, LTD. 12TH FLOOR, SEVEN PENN CENTER 1635 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19103-2212		<b>Date of mailing</b> <i>(day/month/year)</i>		15 Mar 2006
<b>Applicant's or agent's file reference</b> A1019-20397		<b>REPLY DUE</b> within <b>30 DAYS</b> from the date of receipt indicated below. See also item 5 below.		
<b>International application No.</b> PCT/US2005/045892	<b>Date of receipt</b> <i>(day/month/year)</i> 15 Dec 2005		<b>Priority date</b> <i>(day/month/year)</i> 16 Dec 2004	
<b>Applicant</b> APPLIED EXTRUSION TECHNOLOGIES, INC.				

- The applicant is hereby notified that this receiving Office has found that reference to drawings which were not included in the international application is made on pages:  

4 (Fig. 1-2)
- The applicant may, within the time limit indicated above, submit the said drawings (Rule 20.2(a)(iii)).
- IF THE DRAWINGS REACH** this receiving Office within that time limit, the date on which they are received will become the international filing date.
- IF THE DRAWINGS DO NOT REACH** this receiving Office within that time limit, or **IF NO DRAWINGS** are submitted, any reference in the international application to these drawings will be considered non-existent, the drawings will not be taken into account for the purposes of the international processing and the date of receipt of the international application indicated above will be the international filing date.
- ☐ The time limit for responding to this notification expires later than one year from the filing date of the earliest application whose priority is claimed. Thus, any drawing submitted in reply to this notification, which is received by this receiving Office after the priority year, will require the receiving Office to declare *ex officio* that the priority claim is considered, for the purposes of the procedure under the PCT, not to have been made.

<b>Name and mailing address of the receiving Office</b> Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Facsimile No. 703-305-3230	<b>Authorized officer</b> Nhu Thuy Tran Telephone No. 703-308-9290 EX 105
--	---



# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the RECEIVING OFFICE

# PCT

COMMUNICATION IN CASES FOR WHICH  
NO OTHER FORM IS APPLICABLE

To:

MICHAEL S. MCCOY  
FULLBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.  
1301 MCKINNEY  
SUITE 5100  
HOUSTON, TEXAS 77010-3095

Date of mailing (day/month/year) **04 MAY 2006**



Applicant's or agent's file reference <div style="text-align: center;">HO-P02813WO0</div>	<b>REPLY DUE</b> <div style="text-align: right;">See paragraph 1 below</div>
International application No. <div style="text-align: center;">PCT/US2004/021325</div>	International filing date (day/month/year) <div style="text-align: right;">02 Jul 2004</div>
Applicant DAVIS, CHIEF R	

1. ☐ REPLY DUE within \_\_\_\_\_ months/days from the above date of mailing
- ☐ NO REPLY DUE, however, see below \_\_\_\_\_
- ☒ IMPORTANT COMMUNICATION
- ☐ INFORMATION ONLY

2. COMMUNICATION:

This Office is in receipt of a communication filed 23 JUL 2004 requesting a change in applicant's name under PCT Rule 92bis.

A review of the application file reveals that the information as contained in the PCT Request, Box No. III in regard to applicant inventor Chief R. Davis is exactly as indicated in the request under Rule 92bis. Therefore, no correction under PCT Rule 92bis is necessary.

Name and mailing address of the receiving Office Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Facsimile No. 703-305-3230	Authorized officer Jeremy Fleming  Telephone No. 571-272-3284 
---	--